Samuel Dishaw

CONTACT	Department of Philosophy Harvard University Cambridge, Massachusetts	sdishaw@g.harvard.edu 857-930-9120
AOS	Ethics, Social Philosophy, Epistemology	
AOC	Political Philosophy, Practical Ethics (espec Metaethics, Philosophy of Law	cially ethics of AI),
EDUCATION	Harvard University PhD Philosophy, 2016-2023 Dissertation: <i>Understanding Morality With Others</i> Committee: Selim Berker, Christine Korsgaard, Susanna Rinard, John Bengson (UT-Austin)	
	University of Edinburgh Visiting Research Scholar, 2015 Sponsor: Matthew Chrisman	
	Université de Montréal MA, Philosophy, 2014-2016 Thesis: " <i>L'éthique et sa place dans la natur</i> Supervisor: Christine Tappolet	·e''
	Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg Visiting student in the department of philos	ophy, 2013
	Université de Montréal BA, Philosophy, 2010-2014	
DISSERTATION ABSTRACT	Thinking about morality is hard. Why shoul ourselves what morality requires, when we judgment of those who know better? I defe answer to this question: because we owe it together, what morality requires of us. In the view, I make progress on a number of close the nature of puzzlement, the demands of conditions of apology and interpersonal just	e might rely instead on the end a surprisingly neglected to each other to understand, he course of defending this ely related topics, including solidarity, and the success

IN PREPARATION	"Solidarity and the Work of Moral Understandi Philosophical Quarterly)	ng" (<i>R&R</i> ,	
	"The Object of Moral Understanding" (R&R, Ergo)		
	"Moral Understanding Between You and Me" (Under review)		
	"Puzzlement as a Guide to Understanding" (Under review))	
AWARDS AND FELLOWSHIPS	Graduate Fellowship, Edmond J. Safra Ethics Center	2020-2021	
	Certificate of Distinction for Teaching, Harvard University 2019 (for <i>Saints, Heretics, and Atheists</i>)		
	Certificate of Distinction for Teaching Harvard University (for <i>Inequality</i>)	2018	
	SSHRC Doctoral Scholarship	2016-2020	
	Graduate Faculty Excellence Award (University of Montreal)	2016	
	FRQS Master's Training Scholarship	2015-2016	
	Joseph-Armand Bombardier Master's Scholarship	2014-2015	
PRESENTATIONS	ESENTATIONS "Solidarity and the Work of Moral Understanding" Northwestern University Society for the Theory of E Politics (NUSTEP), Evanston, 2023		
	"The Moral Relationship" Neuchâtel University Philosophy Colloquium, 202	3	
	"Moral Understanding Between You and Me" Humboldt Normativity Conference, 2022 British Society for Ethical Theory Graduate Conference, 2021 Manchester Political Theory Workshop on Recognition, 2021 Moral Psychology and Rationality Workshop, University of Copenhagen, 2021		
	"Puzzlement as a Guide to Understanding" Inquiry Work-in-Progress Network, April 2022 Syracuse Graduate Philosophy Conference, April 2	021	
	"The Puzzle of Lucky Right Action" Southampton Normativity Conference, June 2019		

	Tulane Philosophy Graduate Conference, 2019 University of Pennsylvania Graduate Speaker Series, 2018
	"The Epistemic Significance of Grasping" Edinburgh Epistemology Graduate Conference, 2017 New Trends in Epistemology, University of Pavia, 2017
	"Pragmatic Reasons for Belief and the Possibility of Rationality" Kings College Philosophy Graduate Conference, 2016
COMMENTARIES	Rachel Achs & Oded Na'Aman, "The Subtleties of Fit" St. Louis Annual Conference on Reasons and Rationality, 2022
	Russ Shafer-Landau, "Moral Intuitions and Moral Disagreement" Faces of Disagreement, University of Montreal, 2016.
TEACHING	As Primary Instructor <i>Truth, Lies and Democracy in the Digital Age</i> (Summer 2022) Harvard University Summer School
	As Embedded EthiCS Lecturer Harvard Department of Computer Sciences
	"Democracy, Ignorance and Power Over Others" (Spring 2021) "Ethical Reasoning in AI for Social Impact" (Spring 2021) "Moral Responsibility and Social Networks" (Spring 2021)
	"The Ethics of Data Visualization" (Fall 2020) "Permissible Defense Against Neural Fake News" (Fall 2020) "Fair Queuing" (Fall 2020)
	As Teaching Assistant Harvard Philosophy Department
	The Art of Living (Spring 2020) Instructor: Samantha Matherne
	Saints, Heretics and Atheists (Spring 2019) Instructor: Jeff McDonough
	<i>Inequality</i> (Fall 2018) Instructor: Lucas Stanczyk

CONFERENCE ORGANIZING	2021 The Rights Workshop, St-Andrews University, co-organizer (June 2021, with Daniel Muñoz and Joe Bowen).
	2019 The Rights Workshop, MIT, co-organizer (2019, with Daniel Muñoz and Joe Bowen).
	2019 Harvard-MIT Philosophy Graduate Conference, co-organizer (with Megan Entwistle).
	2018 Harvard-MIT Philosophy Graduate Conference, co-organizer (with Zachary Gabor).
LANGUAGES	French (native) German (intermediate) Latin (reading knowledge) Ancient Greek (reading knowledge)
GRADUATE COURSEWORK	(*not taken for credit) *Bernard Williams, Richard Moran *Becausal Relations, Selim Berker *Risk in Law and Society (Princeton), Lara Buchak, Johann Frick and Renee Jorgensen *Normative Categories, Selim Berker *The Good, Christine Korsgaard History of Modern Moral Philosophy, Christine Korsgaard Modal Logic, Mark Richard *Ethics of Climate Change (MIT), Casper Hare and Kieran Setiya *Killing and Letting Die in Public Policy, Frances Kamm Topics in Political Philosophy, Lucas Stanczyk *Kant's Ethical Theory, Christine Korsgaard *Bioethics, Frances Kamm Medieval Philosophy, Jeffrey McDonough Norms of Belief, Selim Berker and Susanna Rinard Method, Essence and Intuition in Metaethics, John Bengson Explanation, Edward Hall and Brad Skow Egalitarianism, Gina Schouten Practical Reasons, Jeff Behrends *Speech Acts, Richard Moran Understanding, John Bengson Hume's Ethical Theory, Christine Korsgaard

REFERENCES Selim Berker (Dissertation Supervisor) Alford Professor of Philosophy, Harvard University Harvard.phil.recs@gmail.com

Christine Korsgaard Arthur Kingsley Porter Research Professor, Harvard University Harvard.phil.recs@gmail.com

Susanna Rinard Professor of Philosophy, Harvard University Harvard.phil.recs@gmail.com

Jeff Behrends (Teaching Reference) Senior Research Scholar in Philosophy, Harvard University Harvard.phil.recs@gmail.com

Understanding Morality with Others

This dissertation is about a moral ideal: the ideal of moral understanding. Much attention has been paid to moral understanding as an individual achievement that reflects well on its possessor. I defend the ideal of moral understanding on different grounds, focusing on the irreducibly *interpersonal* aspects of its importance.

On the view I defend, the importance of moral understanding is irreducibly interpersonal twice over. First, we have directed obligations to aim at moral understanding: I may owe it to you, specifically to understand some aspect of moral reality. Second, the kind of moral understanding we ought to achieve is one that involves other moral agents: moral understanding that is *shared* between ourselves and others.

One motivation behind this view is to vindicate the thought that moral understanding is distinctively valuable, as compared to moral knowledge. Yet a popular view in epistemology is that understanding, in general, reduces to knowledge. If that view is right, moral understanding cannot be more valuable than moral knowledge. Nothing can be more valuable than itself.

Is understanding reducible to knowledge? I argue that it is not. Consider that understanding has a non-privative, polar opposite: puzzlement. To be puzzled is to be in a state of incomprehension. Puzzlement is not the mere absence of understanding. A reductionist theory of understanding should be able to account for the difference between puzzlement and the mere absence of understanding. Knowledge-based reductionism fares poorly on this count: puzzlement is not analyzable in terms of knowledge, its absence, or its supposed polar opposite. This provides strong reason to think that understanding is simply a distinct state from knowledge.

Having defended the distinct nature of understanding in general, I turn to the distinct importance of understanding in the moral domain.

My analysis beings with the observation that an understanding of moral matters can be shared with another person. When you and I share moral understanding, we understand moral matters *together*, in a way that can't be reduced to each of us having moral understanding on our own. I argue that two central moral practices. —justifying our actions to others and apologizing for wrongdoing—always require shared moral understanding in order to be successful. Thus, whenever I owe you a justification or an apology, I thereby owe it to you to bring it about that we share moral understanding.

What is the broader *social* importance of moral understanding? Consider relationships of solidarity. Those who suffer at the hands of an injustice are better situated to know about it. This thought has been taken by some to entail that solidarity is essentially deferential: we ought to rely on the moral beliefs of those with whom we are in solidarity. I argue that this natural view is mistaken. Its advice is often self-undermining: when we receive conflicting moral testimony, it requires us to be in solidarity with no one. Even when its advice is not selfundermining, it leads to an unfair distribution of epistemic labor. Solidarity, I thus argue, requires more than mere deference. Moreover, the deference view has trouble making sense of the fact that solidarity relations are often symmetric: we can stand in solidarity with one another. In these cases, I argue, we owe it to each other to come to a shared moral understanding of the injustice we oppose. Such shared moral understanding is simply part of what it is for us to stand in solidarity with each other. We stand in solidarity with each other when we come to understand, together, that the injustices we suffer individually are injustices we face together—when our individual moral understanding is raised to collective consciousness.