

1. Acknowledgements

I am indebted to many students and friends who read and commented on portions of this book, most notably Joseph Albernaz, Taylor Bacques, Tim Becker, Lori Keleher Bursum, Claudia Cuador, Allison Das, Daniel Ferguson, Aileen Fielding-Das, Adam Johnson, Rachel Joyner, Courtney Kelly, Amanda Kubic, Patrick Miller, Erin Pellarin, Emily Schumm, and Savannah Sipperly. I owe a special debt to Olivia Branscum for her paper, “Eternally to be mourned by Heraclitus: radical flux, the weeping philosopher, and the French Renaissance.” I have also profited from conversations with Peter Burian, Diskin Clay, Jennifer Kellogg, Pavlos Kontos, Alexander Nehamas, Anna Christina Ribeiro, Eleanor Rutledge, and Alan Shapiro.

Earlier versions of several chapters have appeared in scholarly journals or collections: “Hopkins’ Creative Use of Heraclitean Materials” in *The International Journal for the Classical Tradition*, Vol. 18 (2011), 262-69; “The Self in Conflict with Itself: A Heraclitean Theme in Eliot’s *Cocktail Party*” in Silke Knippschild, and Marta García Morcillo, eds., *Seduction and Power: Antiquity in the Visual and Performing Arts* (London and New York: Bloomsbury Publishing, 2013), 121-32; “Heraclitean Ideas in Stevens’ “This Solitude of Cataracts”” in *The Wallace Stevens Journal*, Vol. 38 (2014), 21-34; “Borges’ Love Affair with Heraclitus,” *Philosophy and Literature*, Vol. 41 (2017), 303-14; “Odysseás Elytis’ Conversation with Heraclitus ‘Of Ephesus’” *Philosophy and Literature*, Vol. 44 (2020), 226-36; and “MacNeice the Heraclitean,” *Philosophy and Literature*, Vol. 45 (2021), 315-28.